

Webinar Series
Funded by the U.S. Department of Justice

Bureau of Justice Assistance

Capital Litigation Initiative:
Crime Scene to Courtroom Forensics Training

**Webinar #21: Evolution of Fingerprint Practices
and Implications to Litigation**

- I. Webinar Introduction
- II. Historical Review
 - a. Legacy practices
 - i. ACE methodology
 - ii. Fingerprint lore
 - iii. Early litigation
 - b. Scientific reviews
 - i. OIG report – Mayfield case
 - ii. NRC report
 - iii. IAI memorandum & SWGFAST
 - iv. NIST/NIJ report
 - v. PCAST report
 - vi. AAAS report
 - c. Research (black box studies)
 - i. FBI study
 - ii. Miami-Dade study
 - iii. Close non-match study
 - iv. Research findings
- III. Discipline Trends
 - a. OSAC Friction Ridge Committee
 - i. Standards & best practice recommendations (BPRs)
 - ii. Key developments

- IV. Implications to Litigation
 - a. Recent cases
 - i. State v. McPhaul (North Carolina)
 - ii. U.S. v. Schipp (New York)
 - iii. U.S. v. Odell Tony Adams (Oregon)
 - b. Litigation strategies
 - i. Litigator's checklist
- V. Question and Answer
- VI. Webinar conclusion
 - a. CLE information
 - b. Closing remarks

Funded by the U.S. Department of Justice, Capital Litigation Initiative:
Crime Scene to Courtroom Forensics Training

This project was supported by Grant No. 2015-CP-BX-K006 awarded by the Bureau of Justice Assistance. The Bureau of Justice Assistance is a component of the Department of Justice's Office of Justice Programs, which also includes the Bureau of Justice Statistics, the National Institute of Justice, the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, the Office for Victims of Crime, and the SMART Office. Points of view or opinions in this document are those of the author and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the U.S. Department of Justice.